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Overview

• SURFnet, academic and research networking and the changing environment

• Building an SDN prototype application with OpenDaylight

• What we learned
SURF eScience Services for Research and Higher Education

- National Research & Education Network
- Commercial ICT Products & Services
- Scientific Computing & Storage
- Shared Professional and Educational Services
Nationwide dark fiber infrastructure

DWDM & Carrier Ethernet

Around 165 connected institutions (universities, university medical centres, research institutes)

IPv4/IPv6 unicast/multicast + (dynamic) high speed P2P circuits

Federated ID, collaboration, security, wireless services & innovation
GLIF Worldwide Research Infrastructure
GLIF European Part

SDN & OpenFlow World Congress, Düsseldorf, 14 October 2014
Changing Environment: SDN

- Network innovation slow because we are dependent on vendors and their roadmaps.
- Vendors decide which features will be implemented and when.
- They offer mainstream features that are most requested.
- However, research networks must be leading in new technology.
- SDN, open APIs and moving features from routers and switches to the control plane offers NRENs the opportunity to be in control of innovation (again).
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Computing vs Networking

Closed Systems
- Closed hardware
- Workstations + UNIX
- UNIX System Call API
- Start of Open Source Software
- Portable applications

Open Hardware
- Hypervisor API
- Portable VMs

Difficulties:
- Closed hardware
- Workstations + UNIX
- UNIX System Call API
- Start of Open Source Software
- Portable applications

Advantages:
- Hypervisor API
- Portable VMs

Source: Ronald van der Pol
Network Innovation at Research Networks

- With SDN and Open APIs we can work on network innovation again by working on the control plane and networks applications.

- Cooperate with other research networks and academia.

- Join large communities in these areas, like OpenDaylight. Work with vendors and other users and service providers.

- But culture change needed.
  - Few or no software developers at NRENs.
  - Most are CLI and protocol thinkers, not abstraction and API thinkers.
  - Innovation projects usually big isolated projects.
Culture and Organisational Changes

innovation → prototype → production

GAP

innovation

operation
SURFnet SDN Goals for 2014

• Build nationwide SDN testbed with hardware OpenFlow switches and OpenStack mini clouds for NFV

• Look at all operational aspects of such a network (OAM, monitoring, resilience, centralised versus distributed functions)

• Write a “real” SDN application to get experience with network programming (what does it take?, which frameworks do exist?, which abstractions are useful? etc)

• How mature is SDN?
SURFnet Nationwide OpenFlow Testbed

- 6 Pica8 P5101 Trident II switches
- Looping multi-stage topology
- Mini OpenStack cloud at each site for NFV experiments
- OpenDaylight controlled
- Best way to slice to be investigated
Write a “Real” Network Application

- EU funded GN3plus Open Call Project (CoCo).
- http://www.geant.net/opencall/SDN/Pages/CoCo.aspx
- October 2013 – March 2015 (18 months).
- Budget Eur 216K; 16.4 person months.
- Partners: SURFnet (NL) & TNO (NL) – 50/50 split in effort.

Five work packages:
- WP1: use cases & market demand
- WP2: architecture, design & development
- WP3: experimental validation
- WP4: dissimulation
- WP5: project management
Community Connection (CoCo) Prototype

- **Goal of CoCo prototype:**
  - On-demand multi-domain, multipoint private L2/L3 network prototype.
  - Intended users: closed (eScience) community groups.
  - CoCo instances interconnect users, compute, storage, instruments, etc.
  - Each closed eScience community group can easily setup their own private CoCo instance via web portal without the help of network engineers.

- **Based on OpenFlow & OpenDaylight.**
CoCo Instance
• Use as much existing code, frameworks and protocols as possible.

• Use MPLS labels for aggregation and forwarding in the core.

• Centralised agent chooses label, no label swapping within domain, no label distribution protocol needed on the data plane.

• Use BGP messages to exchange information between domains.

• Use much on the BGP MPLS VPN (RFC 4364) architecture.

• BGP only used in the control plane. BGP not used for RIB to FIB.
CoCo Control, Data and Service Planes
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CoCo Data Plane

- Data plane forwarding based on MPLS labels
  - Outer MPLS label used to forward to destination PE switch.
  - Inner MPLS label identifies CoCo instance.

- MPLS encapsulation and decapsulation done at PE.

- At PE the customer traffic is aggregated onto MPLS paths.
Control plane consists of federated CoCo agents.

Each domain runs its own CoCo agent.

Tasks CoCo agent:
- inserts MPLS forwarding rules in the core.
- Inserts MPLS encap/decap rules in the PE switches.
- Exchanges information with neighbours via BGP peering model

Information exchanged between CoCo agents:
- Customer IP prefixes.
- MPLS label used for CoCo instance.
- Information about end points participating in CoCo (for web portal).
• January 2014: workshop with Dutch eScientists to define use cases

• June 2014: agreement on architecture

• September 2014: picked use case (VPNs to interconnect genome sequencers, scientists, storage and compute)

• October 2014: first single domain prototype based on OpenDaylight
First prototype setup

- **CoCo Agent**
  - **Topology**
  - **Path Computing**
  - **REST Client**
    - **OpenFlow Plugin REST**
    - **OpenDaylight**
  - **Use ODL Module?**

- **BGP**
  - **REST**
  - **Use ODL Module?**
What have we learned?

1. Limitations in the OpenFlow protocol.
   - We wanted to have a CE – PE service port with QinQ.
   - Campus/enterprise would use 1 dedicated VLAN for the CoCo service. The outer VLAN tag.
   - The inner VLAN tag would be used to map to a VPN instance.
   - But, OpenFlow does not have a feature to match on inner tags.
What have we learned?

2. Limitations in hardware.
   - Workaround for the previous problem is multiple tables and apply-action.
   - But the Trident II (probably) does not support this.
   - Some ASICs do not support MPLS (data centre vs transport ASICs).
What have we learned?

3. Bugs and unimplemented features.

- Mininet does not have full MPLS support yet.
Experiences with OpenDaylight

- Lots of progress in one year!
- We needed OF 1.3, and it took some time to setup an environment with mininet and Hydrogen that worked with OF 1.3.
- “Old” and “new” OpenFlow plugins and finding the correct documentation.
- Documentation (up to date!) was hard to find, improved with Helium.
- But very good support on mailing lists and IRC!
- Much has improved in Helium!
- OpenDaylight has a very nice architecture, but also a large learning curve.